

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,233	07/07/2003	Soren Ebdrup	6413.200-US 6226		
23650	7590 02/18/2005		EXAMINER		
NOVO NOR	DISK, INC.		HENLEY III, I	RAYMOND J	
PATENT DEP	PARTMENT				
100 COLLEGI	E ROAD WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			1614		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,233	EBDRUP ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Raymond J Henley III	1614 .		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raymond J Henley III	1614 .					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		ducina an airealif ilan	tha iaawaa faa				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bef appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re-	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: The Examiner cannot locate that portion o	f the specification as originally filed	which serves as a ba					
"phosphinyl, selenyl and germyl" in the positions a proposed amendment would apparently raise an is the presence of "P, Se or Ge" in the molecule and but the mere presence of "P, Se or Ge" does not e located at the specifically identified positions. Apporting originally filed support may be found for the concectaims. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ssue of "new matter". The Examine that this claim represents subject requate to the concept as now propolicants should specifically point out of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the specifically point out of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the specifically point out of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the specifically point out of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the specifically point of placing "P, Se or Ge" at the specifical pla	er recognizes that clai matter that was origina sed, i.e., that the "P, where in the specific pecific positions as in	m 5 provides for ally disclosed, Se or Ge" be ation as the proposed				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		timaly filed amondme	ent canceling the				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable ii subjililled iii a separate,	uniely med antendine	ant canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu							
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the issue discussed above.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s) · //	11112				
I3. ☐ Other:		1781	1. 1. 1.				

PRIMARY EXAMINER
AU 14 2/16/05